



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/761,416	01/16/2001	Mari Horiguchi	09812.0156-00000	4785
22852 7	590 07/14/2006		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			BOCCIO, VINCENT F	
LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			2621	
	•		DATE MAILED: 07/14/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/761,416	HORIGUCHI, MARI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Vincent F. Boccio	2621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
Period for Reply	3		. 4
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timedill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	hely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	V :
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 M</u>	av 2006		
,	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E			
	n parto quayro, 1000 o.b. 11, 10		
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•••		
application from the International Bureau			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		d.	
•	•		
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Celerences Cited (1 10-032) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte	
By Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		atent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/16/06</u> .	6)		

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

The Group and/or Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 2016.26.26.



Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 5/2/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- (A) In re page 7, applicant states, "A prima facie .. has not been established", "To establish .. three basic criteria, 1)
 - 1) a suggestion to combine,
 - 2) expectation of success and
 - 3) teaching all claimed limitations.

In accord to page 8, applicant states, Kim merely discloses comparison and detection means, while claim 1 recites "acquisition means for acquiring a second piece of information of the schedule of operation of the function executing means not contained in the first piece of information."

In accord to page 9, applicant further states, Kim does not disclose, "control means for putting the second piece of information in a predetermined block format and storing it in the storage means as additional information to the first piece of information."

In response the examiner agrees that the rejection must include recited limitations and further that Kim does teach

Art Unit: 2621

comparison of a title with broadcast schedule data and detecting the corresponding title, the examiner agrees.

But, in accord to Fig. 7, step 470, col. 8, line 65 to col. 9, line 5, which states,

In other words the user inputs a text TITLE, which is compared with the broadcast schedule data tiles, compares and determines if there is a corresponding title in a title field, being text, upon being compared and determined to be coincident, the other related text data is stored or the second text (cols. 8-9, starting and finishing times, channel, date, etc..................), which is not part of the first text (TITLE), but identified and detected, thereafter the second text associated with the event is stored after the first, but, based on the first text data, directly related to, "the function executing means having a schedule of operation", as claimed.

The examiner deemed that Kim is obvious to combined

Art Unit: 2621

and the combination would have a reasonable expectation of success, by generating events for recording using a user input title, wherein the system can locate and set events, based on the title data, upon a coincident title, further storing the other related event data, channel, times, date etc......, based in the first text title and not part of the first text, wherein channel, times and date and other information stored in step 470, corresponds to the second text information, based in the first text information, as claimed.

Kim is deemed advantageous to any Video recording event setting system, creating events with user input titles for example, thereby locating corresponding events based on a title field, one inventive method of setting events where the user only need to provide a text input, thereafter the system can determine corresponding event text based on the title text, simplifying event setting for users, by only requiring a text input, such as a title for a desired program to be set for recording.

2. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or

Art Unit: 2621

motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992).

In this case, Kim suggests a method of setting events by requiring only a text input from a user, thereby enhancing event setting means by, the system searches with the input text by the user, through broadcast data to locate desired events and setting into memory, only requiring a text input such as a title to do so, considered to be advantageous, novel and useful way of helping users set events.

(B) In addition Kim fails to teach a piece of information acquired and put in a predetermined block format, as required by claim 1.

In response the examiner fails to agree, a predetermined block format is met, in view of the definition or scope of the wording block.

A block can be a section of random access memory, See MICROSOFT COMPUTER DICTIONARY.

Art Unit: 2621

A block can be a quantity or number or a section of things dealt with as a unit, see WEBSTER Ninth.

Therefore, the title and other related data, channel, times, date etc...., when stored meet the limitation of blocks of data stored in memory.

While the remaining language such as predetermined block format, is also met, in view of, if the format was not known and predetermined, one would not known how to write as well as read the data, therefore, the predetermined block format is met by Kim storing the event titles first in memory to compare with corresponding titles, detect and store the other information for the event, which all storage in memory meets the limitation of a predetermined format to be successfully read later.

A format is required to be predetermined prior to the a storing operation, met by Kim, or how would one skilled in the art, read into and from the memory, without a "PREDETERMINED FORMAT", wherein the BLOCK only refers to the data being a string of data.

All arguments presented are not deemed persuasive to the examiner, therefore the rejection of maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2621

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 2. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Humpleman et al. (US 6,182,094) in view of Kim (US 5,526,130).

The examiner incorporates by reference the last action against the claims.

Conclusion

1. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/761,416 Page 8

Art Unit: 2621

Contact Fax Information

Any response to this action should be faxed to:

(571) 273-8300, for communication as intended for entry, this Central Fax Number as of 7/15/05

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to the examiner of record, Monday-Tuesday & Thursday-Friday, 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM Vincent F. Boccio (571) 272-7373.

Primary Examiner, Boccio, Vincent 7/8/06

PRIMARY EXAMINER